

Assessing Family Care Conferences in Long-Term Care: Lessons Learned From Content Analysis

P.M. Durepos, RN, Phd (c)^{1,6}; S. Kaasalainen, RN, PhD¹; T. Sussman, MSW, PhD²; J. Ploeg, RN, PhD¹; D. Parker, PhD³, K. Brazil, PhD⁴; A. Papaioannou, MD, FRCP, FACP⁵ McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada¹; McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada²; University of Technology, Sydney, NS, AU³; Queen's University, Dublin, UK⁴; Hamilton Health Sciences, Geriatric Research and Education in Aging Sciences Centre, Hamilton, ON, Canada⁵

INTRODUCTION

- End of life (EOL) communication in long-term care homes (LTC) is often inadequate and delayed, leaving residents dying with unknown preferences or goals of care.¹
- Poor communication with staff contributes to families feeling unprepared, distressed and unsatisfied with care.²
- Family Care Conferences (FCC) aim to support structured, systematic communication around goals and plans for EOL.³

OBJECTIVES

As part of the 'Strengthening a Palliative Approach to Care' (SPA-LTC) project^{4,5}, FCCs were implemented in 4 LTC sites in Ontario, Canada.

The purpose of this sub-study is to examine FCC:

- a) content, and
- b) guiding processes such as documentation and multidisciplinary staff participation using mixed methods.

METHODS

- 39 residents were enrolled in SPA-LTC with a Palliative Performance Scale of 40% (nearing death)
- 24 FCCs organized by LTC staff for enrolled residents based on clinical expertise (e.g. declining and family would benefit from EOL communication)
- Data collected from 41 FCC forms and site-specific electronic charts to explore content discussed and care planned
- Directed-content analysis using the Canadian Hospice Palliative Care Association's 'Square of Care' model domains ^{6,7,8}

Documents Used for EOL Communication in Family Care Conferences: FCC Forms

- Family questionnaire
- Physician invitation
- Staff communication sheet
- Planning checklist
- Plan of Care Conference Summary
- Site-Specific Documents
- Paper chart or electronic (e.g. "Point Click Care")

Figure 1: Canadian Hospice Palliative Care Association: 'Square of Care'

		Process of Providing Care						
		Assessment	Information Sharing	Decision- making	Care Planning	Care Delivery	Confirmation	
Common Issues	Disease Management							
	Physical							
	Psychological							
	Social							
	Spiritual				-			
	Practical				nt and			
	End of life/ Death Management			Famil	y Care			
	Loss, Grief							





Resident C

Male

- 100% 90%
- 80%
- 70%
- 60%
- 50%
- 40%
- 30%
- 20%
- 10%
- 0%





Network

Resident Characteristics	FCC (n=24) n(%)	Mean (SD)) Staff Disciplines Attending		Family Care Conf (n=24)		
Male	9 (37.5)		Nursing (RN/RPN)		20 (71%)		
Female	15 (62.5)		Social Work		14 (58%)		
Age at enrollment (years)		86 (9)	Recreational Therapy		11 (46%)		
Length of Stay in LTC		6.7 (3)	Director/Assistant of Care		9 (38%)		
Dementia	22 (92%)		Dietary		9 (38%)		
Charlson Comorbidity Index		7.75 (2)	75 (2) Physician		8 (33%)		
	0 (220/)	7.10 (2)	Physiotherapy		3 (13%)		
Hospitalizations in last year (Y/N)	8 (33%)		Personal Supp	ort Workers	3 (13%)		
Palliative Performance Score		38 (8.9)	Family Attend	ing			
		00 (0.0)	Daughter/in-law		12 (50%)		
Duration from FFC to death	7.11 (9.9)	Son/in-law		9 (38%)			
(weeks)			Wife		3 (13%)		
Deceased, in LTC	9 (37.5)		Other		2 (8%)		
			Resident		1 (4%)		
			Husband		1 (4%)		
Dellisting Care Car			Palliative Care Domain	Care Plan	FCC Forms M(SD)	Electr Docur M(SD)	
Palliative Care Content Addressed in Conferences			Disease Management	Goal	0.06(0.2)		
90%				Planned Intervention	0.12(0.5)	0.4(0.7	
80%			Phsycial	Goal	0.29(0.5)	0.3(0.	
70% 60%				Planned intervention	0.82(0.9)	1.2(1.0	
50%			Psychological	Goal	0.35(0.5)	0.22(0	
40%				Planned Intervention	0.65(1.2)		
20%		_	Social	Goal	0.35(0.5)	•	
10%				Planned Intervention	0.50(0.8)	0.4(0.	
			Spiritual	Goal	0.12(0.3)	•	
Physica of Lite Socia ener	5 pirituia practicia	ogical Grie.		Planned Intervention	0.47(0.8)	0.56(1	
EL. Manu	PSYC	55	Practical	Goal	0.24(0.6)	•	
Physical of Life Social emer End of Life Social emer End of Life Social emer				Planned intervention	0.35(0.7)	、	
Addressed in			End of Life	Goal	0.35(0.6)	•	
				Planned Intervention	1.47(1.7)	1.57(2	
Chart 1: 'Square of Care' Dor		Loss/	Goal	0	0.1(0.3		
			Bereavement	Planned Intervention	0	0.(0.7)	

Known previously as Technology Evaluation in the Elderly Network, TVN

personnes fragilisées



	Care Planning
	 Goal: "Family does not want resident to be alone if dying" Planned intervention: "Provide 1:1 staff for nights and volunteers when family isn't in. Provide a cot at beside for family to sleep" (Site 4) Goal: "Only medications for comfort measuresson does not feel that all of her medications are necessary" Planned Intervention: "Doctor to review and discontinue disease management medications" (Site 3) Goal: "Only transfer to hospital for treatable conditions, not for life-saving measures" Planned Intervention: "Provide care and maintain resident in LTC if at end of life" (Site 4) Goal: "Jewish prayers to be said" Planned Intervention: "Rabbi to meet with resident to find out where her
	shawl is located and choose prayers" (Site 4)
	Summary
ronic ments	 Palliative Care Content Addressed in Conferences⁹ 71% of domains addressed by FCCs on average Focus on physical and EOL care discussion, minimal discussion of loss and bereavement
	 Care Planning in Conferences 2.0 (SD2.2) Goals identified per FCC 5.0 (SD5.0) Planned interventions per FCC
.7) .7) .6)	 Multidisciplinary Participation: On average each FCC attended by 4 staff, representing 4 disciplines Minimal participation by Personal Support Workers and Physicians 3-4 Disciplines attending = 59% of palliative domains addressed 5-6 Disciplines attending = 80% of palliative domains addressed
0.4) 0.8) 0.4) .7)	 Format of Documentation Higher proportion of goals documented on FCC forms (M 1.9 vs. M1.4) Higher proportion of interventions documented on electronic charts (M 4.4 vs. M4.6).
	CONCLUSIONS
0.4) 1.3)	FCCs address the majority of palliative care domains
0.4) .3)	 Implications to optimize FCCs include tailoring use of FCCs forms, prompting bereavement discussion, furthering engagement of PSWs and physicians.
0.7) 2.1) .3) ′)	 References Johnson, S., et al. (2016). Communication with Residents and Families in Nursing Homes at the End of Life. J Hospice & Palliative Nursing, 18(2), 124-130. Hebert, R. S., et al. (2009). Preparing family caregivers for death and bereavement. Insights from caregivers of terminally ill patients. J Pain Symptom Management, 37(1), 3-12. Parker, D., Clifton, K. L., Tuckett, A. G., Reymond, L., Prior, T., McAnelly, K., & Glaetzer, K. (2013). Are we addressing the issues raised by families at palliative care case conferences in residential aged care? In 4th Annual Uniting Care Queensland Research Conference 2013 Kaasalainen, S., et al. (2016). Strengthening a Palliative Approach in Long-Term Care (SPA-LTC): A New Program to Improve Quality of Living and Dying for Residents and their Family Members. Journal of the American Medical Directors Association, 17(3), B21. Sussman, T., et al. (2017). Broadening the purview of comfort to improve palliative care practices in LC. In Press: Canadian Journal on Aging 36(3). Hsieh, H., et al. (2005). Three approaches to qualitative content analysis. Qualitative health research, 15(9), 1277-1288. Durepos, P., et al. (2017). Assessing palliative care content in dementia care guidelines. J of Pain and Symptom Management, 43(4):804-813. Canadian Hospice Palliative Care Association. (2013). Model to guide hospice palliative care: based on national principles and norms of practice OtN. Durepos, P., et al. (2017). Family Care Conferences in Long-Term Care: Exploring Content and Processes in End of Life Communication. Submitted to: Palliative and Supportive Care, May 2017.

